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#### Abstract

: Here, we introduced the notion of strongly P-regular ternary near-ring. We defined ternary near-ring and discussed some of the theorems. The intent of this paper is to testify some concepts of strongly regular and strongly P-regular.
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## INTRODUCTION:

Twenty-five years ago, tardy in 1968, the first conference on near-rings and near-field in the mathematische Forschungsinstitut oberwolfach in Germany. To deduced mathematical theorems from acongruous example. A right ternary near-ring is a generalization of a nearring in ternary context. Algebraic structures and their properties in depth. The ternary algebraic system concept was first debut by Lehmer in 1932. Dutta and Kar debuted the notion of ternary semiring which is a generality of the ternary ring presented by Lister. Nearrings have a number of fascinating applications ranging from Geometry.
The topic of a conventional near-ring was acquainted in 1968 by J.C.Beidleman and later S.Leigh and H.E.Healtherly etc... S.J.Choi elongated P-regularity of a near-ring. Customary (von-Neumann customary) ring plays a consequential role in the structure theory of rings which was first introduced by Von-Newmann.

Definition: Let $\mathcal{N}$ ` be a non-empty set together with a binary operation addition and a ternary operation [ ]: $\mathfrak{\lambda} \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Then $(\mathcal{\lambda},+,[])$ is a right TNR.

1. $(\mathrm{N},+)$ is a group.



Similarly left TNR and lateral TNR can be defined.

Definition：Let $\lambda$ be a right TNR．Then $\lambda 0=\{n \in \lambda /[n 00]=0\}$ is the zero－symmetric part of $\lambda$ ．

Definition：A right TNR is regular，if for every $r \beta \in \mathcal{N}$ there exists $\zeta 1, \zeta 2, \zeta 3 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $r \beta=r \beta[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3] r \beta=r \beta \zeta * r \beta$ ．A regular element $\mathrm{r} \beta \in \lambda$ may equivalently be defined as $r \beta=[r \beta \zeta 1 r \beta \zeta 2 r \beta]$ for some $\zeta 1, \zeta 2 \in \lambda$ ．If $\lambda$ is regular then it is obvious that $[\lambda \wedge \lambda]=\lambda$ ．

Definition：An ideal $P$ of $\lambda$ is $P$－regular，if $r \beta \in N$ there exists $[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3=\zeta *] \in \lambda$ such that $r \beta=r \beta \zeta * r \beta+p$ for some $p \in P$ ．

Definition：An ideal $P$ of $\lambda$ is Strongly $P$－regular，if for every $r \beta \in \lambda$ there exists［弓1そ२ろ3＝$\langle *$ ］ $\in \lambda$ such that $r \beta=\zeta * r \beta 2+p$ for some $p \in P$ ．

Example：If module 6，Z6 is a strongly P－regular TNR．

Theorem 1：If $\lambda$ is a left strongly $P$－regular TNR．Then $r \beta=\zeta * r \beta 2+p$ for some $r \beta \epsilon \lambda$ and $[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3=\zeta *] \epsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ where P is an arbitrary ideal ．It is also a P －regular as well as regular．

## Proof：

Since $\lambda$ be a left strongly P－regular right TNR．
We know that left strongly $P$－regular is $r \beta=[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3] r \beta 2+p=\zeta * r \beta 2+p$ ．
Then by using couples of lemma in near－ring，we have $(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p))=00, r \beta(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p$ $))=r \beta 00, r \beta \zeta * r \beta(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p))=r \beta \zeta * r \beta 00$ ．
Therefore $(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p)) 2=(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p))(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p))=r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta * r \beta 00+p$ $=(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta *) r \beta 00+p$ ．

Now $\quad(\zeta * r \beta 2+p \quad)) 3=(r \beta \quad-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p \quad))(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p \quad)) 2=(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p$
））$(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta *) r \beta 00+p=(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta *) r \beta 00 r \beta+p(r \beta)-(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta *) r \beta 00(\zeta * r \beta 2+p \quad)-p(\zeta * r \beta 2+p$
$)=(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta *) r \beta 00+p(r \beta)-(r \beta 00-r \beta 00)(\zeta * r \beta 2+p)-p(\zeta * r \beta 2+p)$
$=\left(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta_{*}\right) r \beta 00+p$ ．
Similarly（ $\mathrm{r} \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+\mathrm{p})) 2=(\mathrm{r} \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+\mathrm{p})$ ．
Hence we have $0=(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p) r \beta=(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p)) 2 r \beta=(r \beta 00-r \beta \zeta *) r 100+p=(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p$ ）） $2=(r \beta-(\zeta * r \beta 2+p)$ ．

Therefore we get $r \beta=\zeta * r \beta 2+p=[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3] r \beta 2+p=\zeta * r \beta 2+p$. Since, $P$ is an arbitrary Ideal $\{0\}$. Hence $\mathrm{r} \beta=\mathrm{r} \beta \zeta^{*} \mathrm{r} \beta$.

Theorem:2:If $\lambda$ be a right strongly $P$-regular TNR.Then $\mathrm{r} \beta=\mathrm{r} \beta 2 \zeta_{*}+\mathrm{p}$ for some $\mathrm{r} \beta \epsilon \lambda$ and $[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3=\zeta *] \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }}$ nhere P is an ideal. It is also a P -regular.

## Proof:

Similar proof of the above theorem.

Proposition: If $\lambda$ is a TNR with unital element $i$ and $(i)=$ then is an idempotent.

## Proof:

Let $=i$ for $\epsilon \lambda$ and $i$ is an unital element.
Then $=\mathrm{i}=(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{i}=(\mathrm{ii})==3$.
Similarly we get $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}=$ then $3=$.
Theorem:3: If any idempotent and any $\zeta_{*}$ in $\lambda, \quad=\quad \zeta^{*}+\mathrm{p}$ where P be a arbitrary ideal.

## Proof:

Let $2=$ and $[\zeta 1 \zeta 2 \zeta 3=\zeta *] \in \lambda$, then clearly $=\zeta * 2+p$.
We have ( $\left.-\left(\zeta^{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)\right)=00$
And $\left(-\left(\zeta^{*}+p\right)\right)=00, \quad \zeta_{*}\left(-\left(\zeta^{*}+p\right)\right)=\zeta_{*} 00$.
Using same way for above theorem, We have ( $-\left(\zeta_{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)$ )2=( 00- $\left.\zeta_{*}\right) 00+\mathrm{p}$.
Therefore ( $\left.-\left(\zeta_{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)\right) 3=\left(-\left(\zeta_{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)\right) 2$,
similarly ( $\left.-\left(\zeta^{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)\right) 2=\left(-\left(\quad \zeta^{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)\right)$.
Consequently, We get
$0=\left(-\left(\zeta_{*}+\mathrm{p}\right)\right)$
$=\left(-\left(\zeta^{*}+p\right)\right)=\left(-\left(\zeta^{*}+p\right)\right) 2=-\zeta *+p$.
Hence $=\zeta^{*}+\mathrm{p}$.

Theorem:4: A ternary sub near-ring B1 of a regular TNR is a bi-ideal of TNR. iff B1=B1^ B1.

## Proof:

If $B 1=B 1 \lambda B 1$,then we have $B 1$ is a bi-ideal of $\lambda$. Only if, Let take $B 1$ is a bi-ideal of a regular TNR. Let $b \alpha \in B 1$,there exist $\zeta_{*} \in \mathcal{\lambda}$ such that $b \alpha=b \alpha \zeta_{*} b \alpha$.

This implies that $b \alpha \in B 1 \lambda B 1$ and hence $B 1=B 1 \lambda B 1$.
Again $B 1 \lambda B 1 \subseteq B 1 \lambda B 1 \lambda \wedge B 1 \subseteq B 1(\lambda B 1 \lambda) B 1 \subseteq B 1 B 1 B 1 \subseteq B 1$.

Hence B1=B1^B1.

Theorem:5: A ternary sub near-ring B1 of a strongly TNR of $\lambda$ is a Bi-ideal of $\lambda$ iff $\mathrm{B} 1=\mathrm{B} 12$ $\lambda$.

## Proof:

If we know that $B 1=B 1 \lambda B 1=B 1(B 1 \lambda)=B 12 \lambda$.
Only if, take B 1 is a Bi -ideal of a regular TNR of $\lambda$.

Theorem:6: A ternary sub near-ring B1 of a strongly P-regular near-ring $\lambda$ is a bi-ideal of $\lambda$ iff it is strongly P -regular TNR of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

## Proof:

We know that, If $\lambda$ is strongly $P$-regular TNR. (i.e) $b \alpha=b \alpha 2 \zeta *+p$ where $b \alpha \in \lambda, \zeta * \in \mathcal{\lambda}$ and $p$ $\epsilon \mathrm{P}$.

By using above theorem, Let $p$ is a bi-ideal(B) of TNR, such that $b \alpha=b \alpha \zeta b \alpha$.
Then $b \alpha=b \alpha 2 \zeta *+b \alpha \zeta b \alpha=b \alpha 2 \zeta *+b \alpha \subseteq b 12 \zeta *+p$.
Again
$b \alpha 2 \zeta^{*}+\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{b} \alpha 2 \zeta_{*+b} \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~b} \alpha=\mathrm{b} \alpha 2 \zeta *+\mathrm{b} \alpha(\mathrm{b} \alpha \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{b} \alpha 2(\zeta *+\zeta)=b \alpha 2 \lambda=b \alpha \lambda \mathrm{~b} \alpha \subseteq \mathrm{~b} \alpha$.
Therefore $b \alpha=b \alpha 2 \zeta *+p$.

Theorem:7: If right TNR is a zero symmetric. Then an idempotent bi-ideal of $\lambda$.

## Proof:

Let be an idempotent bi-ideal B 1 of $\lambda$, for some $\mathrm{b} \alpha \in \mathrm{B} 1, \zeta \in \lambda, \quad \in \mathrm{~B} 1$.
Then (i) [ ]= ,(ii) [ (b $b \alpha$ ) ] $\subseteq$,(iii) $[b \alpha(\zeta \zeta) b \alpha] \subseteq b \alpha$.

Hence is a Bi-ideal of $\lambda$.

## CONCLUSION:

Here this paper bi-ideal, regular, P-regular and strongly P-regular ternary near-rings were defined and discussed some of the theorems. In a zero-symmetric ternary near-ring concept utilizing some of the theorems. Then bi-ideals in a strongly regular ternary near-ring have been realized as right ternary sub near-ring as well as strongly P-regular ternary near-ring. For future work a homogeneous approach can be explored for different kinds of the ideal utilizing their ideal $(\mathrm{P})$ concept.
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